

Chapter Four: Radiation detection

In Chapter 3, we explored how radiation interacts in matter and how we quantify the energy deposited by those interactions as radiation dose. A natural next question arises: how do we actually *detect* that radiation in the first place? In this chapter, we will examine the principles behind radiation detection systems, focusing on how detectors convert the energy deposited by radiation into measurable electrical signals. We will explore the two main modes in which detectors can operate, learn how some detectors can identify the energy of incident radiation and thereby identify its source, and discuss the practical limitations that affect every detection system.

This chapter is organized into four main sections. In Section 4.1, we review the fundamental mechanism by which gas-filled detectors work, building on concepts from Chapter 3 about ionization. In Section 4.2, we examine the two principal detection modes -- current mode and pulse mode -- and the role of electronic circuits in processing detector signals. In Section 4.3, we explore how pulse height measurements can be used for energy spectroscopy and source identification, including the important concepts of energy resolution and the Compton edge. In Section 4.4, we discuss the practical limitations of real detectors, including dead time and detector efficiency.

4.1 The Fundamental Detection Mechanism

At its core, nearly every radiation detector relies on the same basic phenomenon that we studied in Chapter 3: **ionization**. When ionizing radiation enters a detector, it interacts with the atoms of the detector material, freeing electrons from their atomic orbits. These freed electrons (and the ions left behind) are the raw signal from which all of our measurements ultimately derive.

4.1.1 Gas Ionization Detectors

The most straightforward example of this detection principle is the **gas-filled detector**, of which the **Geiger counter** is the most familiar type. In a gas-filled detector, you have a sealed chamber containing a gas, with an electric field applied across it. In a typical Geiger tube configuration, a positively charged wire, the **anode**, runs through the center of a cylindrical tube, and the outer wall of the tube serves as the negatively charged **cathode**. This creates an electric field that points radially from the cathode toward the anode.

When ionizing radiation enters the gas, it interacts with gas atoms and frees electrons through ionization. Both the freed electrons and the positive ions they leave behind are affected by the electric field: the electrons drift toward the anode, and the positive ions drift toward the cathode. This movement of charge constitutes a brief pulse of electrical current, which is the detector's signal that a radiation interaction has occurred.

This same basic principle applies regardless of the detector's shape. Whether you have a cylindrical Geiger tube or a flat pancake-style detector, the essential components are the same:

a gas volume, an anode, a cathode, and an electric field to sweep up the charges produced by ionization.

4.1.2 Multiple Interactions and Secondary Ionization

An important detail to appreciate is that the detection process typically involves far more than a single ionization event. As we learned in Chapter 3, radiation does not usually deposit all of its energy in a single interaction. Instead, the incident radiation may interact many times as it passes through the detector material, freeing electrons at each interaction point, until it has lost enough energy that it can no longer produce ionization.

Furthermore, the electrons that are freed in the initial interactions may themselves carry enough energy to be ionizing. Recall that it takes only about 10 electron volts (eV) or slightly more to free an outer-shell electron from a typical gas atom. Since the radiation we are detecting, alpha particles, beta particles, and gamma rays from radioactive decay, typically carries energies of thousands to millions of electron volts, the secondary electrons produced in the initial ionization events can have more than enough energy to ionize additional gas atoms themselves. These **secondary electrons** continue the ionization process, producing a cascade of freed charges in the detector.

All of these freed electrons, from both the primary interactions of the incident radiation and the secondary ionization events, contribute to the total current signal measured by the detector. The key insight is that the **total charge produced** in the detector from a single radiation event is **proportional to the energy** of the incident radiation. Higher-energy radiation will undergo more interactions and produce more secondary electrons, resulting in a larger total charge and therefore a larger current pulse. This proportionality between deposited energy and collected charge is the foundation upon which energy-sensitive radiation detection is built.

4.2 Detection Modes: Current Mode and Pulse Mode

Once the detector has converted incoming radiation into electrical charge, we need to process that charge into a useful measurement. There are two principal ways to do this, called **current mode** and **pulse mode**. The vast majority of radiation detection applications use pulse mode, but current mode has important applications as well.

4.2.1 Current Mode

In **current mode**, the detector continuously measures the electrical current flowing between the anode and cathode. Rather than trying to identify each individual radiation interaction, a current-mode detector integrates over many interactions and reports how the average current changes over time. The total current is proportional to the product of the average energy deposited per interaction and the rate at which interactions are occurring:

$$I_{total} \approx Q_{avg} \times R = (\text{Average charge per event}) \times (\text{Event rate})$$

Current mode is particularly useful when the **interaction rate is very high**. As we will see shortly, pulse mode has a fundamental limitation called dead time that makes it difficult to accurately count interactions at very high rates. Current mode avoids this problem by not attempting to resolve individual events, instead measuring the aggregate effect of many interactions.

4.2.2 Pulse Mode

In **pulse mode**, the detector attempts to identify and measure each individual radiation interaction separately. This is the mode in which Geiger counters and most other common radiation detection instruments operate.

The key to pulse mode is the use of an **RC circuit** to convert the collected charge into a measurable voltage pulse. As we learned when we studied electrical circuits earlier in this course, an RC circuit can integrate a brief current pulse and produce a voltage that is proportional to the total charge collected. The charge from a single radiation interaction flows into the capacitor of the RC circuit, producing a voltage pulse that rises quickly and then decays back to its baseline value as the capacitor discharges through the resistor.

This voltage signal can then be amplified using an operational amplifier (op-amp) circuit, which we also studied earlier. The result is a clean voltage pulse whose height is proportional to the energy deposited by the radiation interaction.

4.2.3 Counting Interactions with a Threshold Voltage

The simplest application of pulse mode is simply counting how many radiation interactions occur over a given time period, this is what a Geiger counter does. To accomplish this, the detection system defines a **threshold voltage**, V_{th} . Every time the output voltage exceeds this threshold, the system counts it as one interaction:

$$\text{If } V_{out} > V_{th} \rightarrow \text{count one interaction}$$

This is the operating principle behind every Geiger counter and similar counting detector. The detector does not need to know exactly how much energy was deposited, it simply needs to know that *something* interacted in the detector. Every time the voltage pulse crosses the threshold, a "click" is registered, and the total count over some measurement period gives us the count rate, which we can use to estimate the activity of a source or the radiation field intensity.

4.3 Energy Spectroscopy and Source Identification

While simply counting interactions is useful, we can extract much more information from our detector if we also measure the **height** of each voltage pulse. Because the pulse height is proportional to the energy deposited by the incident radiation, measuring pulse heights allows us to determine the energy of the radiation interacting in our detector. This technique is called **energy spectroscopy**, and it is an extraordinarily powerful tool for identifying radioactive sources.

4.3.1 Pulse Height and Source Identification

Recall from Chapter 2 that different radioactive isotopes produce radiation at characteristic energies. Gamma rays and alpha particles are **monoenergetic**: for a given radioactive source, they are always emitted at the same specific energy. For example, cesium-137 always produces a 662 keV gamma ray, while cobalt-60 produces gamma rays at 1.17 MeV and 1.33 MeV. These energies are as unique to each isotope as fingerprints are to a person.

Because the pulse height in our detector is proportional to the energy of the incident radiation, we can use the pulse height to identify which radioactive isotope produced the radiation. If we measure a large number of pulses and plot a histogram of the pulse heights, what we call a **pulse height spectrum** or **energy spectrum**, we will see peaks at energies that correspond to specific radioactive sources. This is the basis of **gamma spectroscopy**, one of the most important analytical techniques in radiation measurement.

Beta particles present a somewhat different situation. As we learned in Chapter 2, beta decay produces electrons with a continuous distribution of energies, from nearly zero up to a maximum energy that is characteristic of the isotope. This continuous distribution arises because the decay energy is shared between the beta particle and the neutrino. While we can still potentially identify a beta-emitting source from the shape of its energy spectrum and its maximum energy, the analysis is more complicated than for monoenergetic sources, especially when multiple beta-emitting sources are present simultaneously.

4.3.2 Energy Resolution

If gamma rays from a particular source are always emitted at exactly the same energy, we might expect that the corresponding peak in our energy spectrum would be an infinitely thin spike at that energy. In reality, the peak always has a finite width, appearing as a Gaussian (bell-shaped) distribution centered on the true energy. This width is called the **energy resolution** of the detector, and understanding its origin is essential for interpreting energy spectra.

The origin of energy resolution lies in the stochastic nature of the detection process. When a monoenergetic gamma ray interacts in the detector, it produces some number of free electrons through the cascade of ionization events we described in Section 4.1. While the *average* number of electrons produced is proportional to the gamma-ray energy, the *exact* number varies from one interaction to the next because each individual ionization is a probabilistic event.

We are back in the same statistical territory that we explored when studying radioactive decay counting. Each ionization event has some probability of occurring, and the total number of ionization events in a single detection is a stochastic quantity. Just as the number of radioactive decays in a given time interval follows a distribution with a standard deviation equal to the square root of the mean, the number of electrons produced in the detector follows a similar pattern:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{N_{mean}}$$

where N_{mean} is the average number of electrons produced for radiation at that energy. Because the pulse height is proportional to the number of electrons collected, this statistical

variation in the number of electrons translates directly into a spread in the measured pulse heights. The result is a Gaussian peak whose width (standard deviation) is given by the square root of the mean number of electrons produced.

The energy resolution depends on two factors:

- **The energy of the incident radiation.** Higher-energy radiation produces more electrons on average, and since the *relative* width of the distribution ($\sigma/N_{\text{mean}} = 1/\sqrt{N_{\text{mean}}}$) decreases as N_{mean} increases, higher-energy radiation is measured with better relative energy resolution.
- **The properties of the detector itself.** Different detector materials respond differently to incident radiation. Some materials produce more electron-ion pairs per unit of deposited energy than others, and their efficiency at doing so may itself depend on energy. A detector that produces more charge carriers per unit energy will have better energy resolution because the larger number of electrons reduces the relative statistical variation.

This is why different types of detectors have very different energy resolutions. A high-purity germanium (HPGe) semiconductor detector, for example, produces vastly more charge carriers per interaction than a gas-filled detector, giving it far superior energy resolution. The choice of detector depends on whether your application requires the ability to distinguish closely spaced energy peaks or whether simply counting interactions is sufficient.

4.3.3 Incomplete Energy Deposition and the Compton Edge

The discussion so far has assumed that the incident radiation deposits all of its energy within the detector. For alpha particles, this is usually a reasonable assumption, because alpha particles interact so strongly with matter (recall the bowling ball analogy from Chapter 3), they are typically stopped completely within the detector volume, and all of their energy is collected. However, for gamma rays, the situation is more complicated.

As we learned in Chapter 3, gamma rays interact through three main mechanisms: **photoelectric absorption**, **Compton scattering**, and **pair production**. In photoelectric absorption and pair production, the gamma ray's full energy is absorbed within the detector, which is the ideal case for energy spectroscopy. The resulting peak in the energy spectrum is called the **photopeak** or **full-energy peak**, and it appears at the true energy of the incident gamma ray.

In Compton scattering, however, the gamma ray does not deposit all of its energy. Instead, it scatters off an electron, transferring some of its energy to that electron while the scattered gamma ray carries away the remainder. If the scattered gamma ray then escapes the detector without further interaction, which is quite likely, given the low probability of gamma-ray interactions that we discussed in Chapter 3, then only a *fraction* of the original gamma-ray energy is deposited in the detector.

The energy deposited by the recoil electron in a Compton scattering event depends on the scattering angle. As the scattering angle increases, more energy is transferred to the electron. The maximum energy transfer occurs when the gamma ray scatters directly backward (at 180 degrees), and the minimum occurs for a glancing forward scatter (near 0 degrees). This means Compton scattering produces a continuous range of deposited energies, from nearly zero up to a well-defined maximum. This maximum is called the **Compton edge**.

We can calculate the Compton edge energy using the Compton scattering formula from Chapter 3. The energy of the scattered gamma ray is minimized when it scatters at 180 degrees (directly backward), which corresponds to the electron receiving the maximum possible energy. The maximum electron recoil energy, the Compton edge, is therefore:

$$E_{electron(max)} = E_{\gamma} - E_{\gamma'(min)}$$

where E_{γ} is the incident gamma-ray energy and $E_{\gamma'(min)}$ is the minimum scattered gamma-ray energy, which occurs at 180-degree backscatter. The Compton edge energy is unique to each incident gamma-ray energy, so like the photopeak, it provides information about the source.

In a measured energy spectrum from a gamma-ray source, you will therefore typically see several features:

- **The photopeak** (or full-energy peak): a Gaussian-shaped peak at the true gamma-ray energy, resulting from photoelectric absorption events (and some Compton scatters where the scattered gamma is subsequently absorbed in the detector).
- **The Compton continuum**: a broad, continuous distribution of energies extending from low energies up to the Compton edge, resulting from single Compton scattering events where the scattered gamma ray escapes the detector.
- **The Compton edge**: a distinctive cutoff at the upper end of the Compton continuum, corresponding to 180-degree backscatter events that deposit the maximum amount of energy possible through a single Compton scatter.

The relative sizes of the photopeak and Compton continuum depend on the detector material and the gamma-ray energy. In a large, dense detector, a scattered gamma ray is more likely to interact again before escaping, depositing its remaining energy and contributing to the photopeak rather than the Compton continuum. This is one reason why larger detectors often perform better for gamma spectroscopy.

4.4 Practical Detector Limitations

Every real detector has practical limitations that affect its ability to accurately measure radiation. Two of the most important are **dead time** and **detector efficiency**. Understanding these limitations is essential for interpreting detector measurements correctly.

4.4.1 Dead Time

Dead time is a period following each detected interaction during which the detector is unable to register additional interactions. To understand why dead time occurs, consider what happens in a pulse-mode detector after a radiation interaction.

When an interaction occurs, the resulting charge is collected and converted to a voltage pulse by the RC circuit. This pulse rises quickly as the charge is collected, and then decays gradually back toward the baseline voltage as the capacitor discharges. The detector registers an interaction when the voltage exceeds the threshold value, $V_{\text{threshold}}$. The problem arises because it takes a finite amount of time for the voltage to decay back below the threshold after a pulse.

If a second radiation interaction occurs while the voltage from the first pulse is still above the threshold, the detector cannot distinguish the second pulse, the voltage was already above the threshold, so the second interaction does not produce a new threshold crossing. The second interaction is simply missed. The **dead time** is the duration after each detected event during which the detector is effectively blind to additional interactions.

This has a direct and measurable effect on our count rates. At low interaction rates, dead time is not a significant issue because the time between events is long compared to the dead time. But as the interaction rate increases, the fraction of time during which the detector is "dead" grows, and an increasing fraction of true interactions are missed. At very high rates, the detector can become nearly continuously saturated, missing the majority of interactions. This is one reason why current mode can be preferable for high-rate environments: by integrating over many events rather than trying to resolve each one individually, current mode avoids the dead time problem.

4.4.2 Minimizing Dead Time

Since dead time is fundamentally determined by how long it takes the voltage pulse to decay back below the threshold, we can minimize dead time by making the voltage pulse decay faster. From our study of RC circuits, we know that the decay time of a voltage pulse across a capacitor is governed by the **RC time constant**:

$$\tau = R \times C$$

where R is the resistance and C is the capacitance of the circuit. A smaller time constant means the voltage decays more quickly, which means the detector recovers faster and is ready to detect the next interaction sooner. We can therefore reduce dead time by decreasing the resistance or the capacitance (or both) in the detector's readout circuit.

However, there is a trade-off. A smaller RC time constant also means that the voltage pulse is shorter and smaller in amplitude, which can make it more difficult to measure the pulse height accurately. This is a recurring theme in detector design: improving one aspect of performance (in this case, dead time) often comes at the expense of another (energy resolution

or signal-to-noise ratio). The optimal choice of RC time constant depends on the specific application and what information you need from the detector.

4.4.3 Detector Efficiency

Detector efficiency describes the probability that radiation entering the detector will actually produce a measurable interaction. No detector is 100% efficient, some radiation will pass through the detector without interacting at all. The efficiency depends on several factors:

- **The type of radiation.** Alpha particles, because they interact so strongly with matter, are detected with very high efficiency as long as they can reach the active detector volume. The challenge with alphas is getting them *into* the detector, since they are stopped by very thin layers of material. Beta particles interact less strongly and may pass through a thin detector without depositing all their energy. Gamma rays, with their very low interaction probabilities in matter, are the most difficult to detect efficiently.
- **The detector material.** Materials with higher atomic number (Z) and higher density are generally more efficient at detecting gamma rays, because the probability of photoelectric absorption increases strongly with Z , and a denser material presents more atoms per unit volume for the gamma ray to interact with.
- **The energy of the radiation.** As we learned in Chapter 3, the probability of different interaction mechanisms varies with energy. For gamma rays, photoelectric absorption dominates at low energies but decreases rapidly with increasing energy, while Compton scattering becomes relatively more important. This means detector efficiency is energy-dependent, and a detector may be more efficient at some energies than others.
- **The size of the detector.** A larger detector volume gives radiation more opportunities to interact before passing completely through. This is especially important for gamma rays and helps explain why gamma spectroscopy systems often use large detector crystals.

When reporting measurements from any radiation detector, it is important to be aware that the number of interactions counted is always less than the true number of radiation particles that entered the detector. Careful calibration of detector efficiency, often using sources of known activity, is essential for converting measured count rates into estimates of the true radiation field.

Chapter Summary/Key Takeaways

- Radiation detectors work by collecting the electrical charge produced when ionizing radiation interacts in a detector material. In gas-filled detectors like the Geiger counter, an electric field between an anode and cathode sweeps up the freed electrons and ions, producing a measurable current pulse.
- A single radiation interaction in the detector produces many freed electrons through a cascade of primary and secondary ionization events. The total charge collected is approximately proportional to the energy of the incident radiation.
- Detectors can operate in **current mode**, which integrates over many interactions to measure average current, or **pulse mode**, which identifies individual interactions as voltage pulses. Pulse mode is far more common and enables both counting and energy measurement.
- In pulse mode, an RC circuit converts collected charge to voltage pulses, which can be amplified with an op-amp. Counting detectors use a threshold voltage to register when an interaction has occurred.
- By measuring the **height** of voltage pulses, detectors capable of energy spectroscopy can determine the energy of incident radiation. Because different radioactive isotopes emit radiation at characteristic energies, this allows identification of the source.
- **Energy resolution** -- the precision with which a detector can measure energy -- is fundamentally limited by the statistical variation in the number of charge carriers produced per interaction. Resolution depends on both the detector properties and the radiation energy, and varies significantly across different detector types.
- Gamma-ray spectra typically show a **photopeak** at the true gamma energy (from photoelectric absorption) and a **Compton continuum** extending up to the **Compton edge** (from Compton scattering events where the scattered gamma escapes the detector).
- **Dead time** is the period after each detected event during which the detector cannot register new interactions. It is governed by the RC time constant of the readout circuit and becomes a significant limitation at high count rates.
- **Detector efficiency** -- the probability of detecting incident radiation -- depends on the radiation type, detector material, radiation energy, and detector size. All measurements must account for the fact that not every radiation particle entering the detector is actually detected.

Key Takeaways

1. All radiation detectors fundamentally work by collecting the ionization charge produced when radiation interacts in the detector material.
2. The total charge collected from a single radiation event is proportional to the energy of the incident radiation, which is the basis for energy spectroscopy.
3. Pulse mode detectors use RC circuits and threshold voltages to count interactions, and can use pulse height to measure energy.
4. Energy resolution is fundamentally limited by the statistical nature of ionization ($\sigma = \sqrt{N_{\text{mean}}}$) and depends on both detector properties and radiation energy.
5. Compton scattering creates a continuum of partial-energy depositions up to the Compton edge, a distinctive feature in gamma-ray spectra.
6. Dead time and detector efficiency are practical limitations that affect all measurement systems and must be understood for accurate data interpretation.

Review Questions

1. Explain how a gas-filled detector converts a radiation interaction into an electrical signal. What role do the anode, cathode, and electric field play?
2. Why does a single radiation interaction in a detector produce many freed electrons rather than just one? How does this relate to the energy of the incident radiation?
3. Compare and contrast current mode and pulse mode detection. Under what circumstances would current mode be preferred over pulse mode?
4. A detector uses an RC circuit to convert collected charge into voltage pulses. Explain how the threshold voltage is used to count radiation interactions.
5. What is energy resolution, and why is it not possible to measure the energy of monoenergetic radiation with perfect precision? What two factors determine energy resolution?
6. Explain why a gamma-ray energy spectrum typically shows both a photopeak and a Compton continuum. What physical processes produce each feature?
7. What is the Compton edge, and how does it relate to the scattering angle of the gamma ray? At what scattering angle does the maximum energy transfer to the electron occur?
8. Define dead time. Why does a pulse-mode detector miss interactions at high count rates? How can the RC time constant be adjusted to reduce dead time, and what trade-off is involved?
9. List four factors that affect detector efficiency for gamma rays. Why are gamma rays more difficult to detect than alpha particles?

10. A laboratory has a high-activity gamma source and needs to accurately measure the total count rate. Would you recommend a current-mode or pulse-mode detector? Justify your recommendation.

Example Problem: Dead Time Correction

A Geiger counter has a dead time of 200 microseconds ($\tau = 200 \mu\text{s} = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}$). When placed near a radioactive source, it measures a count rate of 800 counts per second (CPS). What is the true interaction rate, accounting for dead time?

Solution

When a detector has dead time, the measured count rate is always lower than the true count rate because some interactions are missed. We can correct for this using a standard dead time formula. If we define:

- m = measured count rate (what the detector reports)
- n = true count rate (what we want to find)
- τ = dead time per event

The fraction of time the detector is "dead" is approximately $m \times \tau$. During this dead fraction, the detector misses interactions occurring at the true rate n . The measured rate is therefore the true rate multiplied by the fraction of time the detector is "live":

$$m = n \times (1 - m \times \tau)$$

Solving for n :

$$n = m / (1 - m \times \tau)$$

Substituting our values:

$$n = 800 / (1 - 800 \times 2 \times 10^{-4})$$

$$n = 800 / (1 - 0.16)$$

$$n = 800 / 0.84$$

$$n \approx 952 \text{ counts per second}$$

The true interaction rate is approximately 952 CPS, meaning the detector is missing about 152 interactions per second, or roughly 16% of the true interactions. This is a significant correction! Notice that at this count rate, the detector is dead for 16% of the measurement time ($800 \text{ events/s} \times 200 \mu\text{s/event} = 0.16$). At even higher true rates, the correction becomes even larger, and at some point the detector becomes so overwhelmed that most interactions are missed. This is the regime where switching to current mode becomes necessary.